CM1202 Developing Quality Software

Implementation Marksheet: Demonstration of Prototype (80%)

Team:

Coverage of Main Requirements:

EACH of the main requirements will be assessed against the following criteria:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Excellent** | The implemented system meets the criteria for a good demonstration for this requirement and has also provided interesting and relevant further features or impressive functionality for this requirement. |
| **Good** | The implemented system clearly satisfies this requirement by covering the essential steps for a suitable basic flow in a logical order and providing a reasonable interface for the task performed. |
| **Adequate** | The implemented system shows reasonable progress towards satisfying this requirement. However, more work is needed in one or more of the following: to include some missing steps in the basic flow, correct the logic of the flow, correct some errors in the task or improve the interface. |
| **Poor** | The implemented system does not satisfy this requirement and will require a significant amount of work to address one or more of the following: to include missing steps in the basic flow, correct the logic of the flow, correct errors in the task or improve the interface. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Excellent** | **Good** | **Adequate** | **Poor** |
| * *Enable visitors to take part in a quiz* |  |  |  |  |
| * *Allow the COMSC engagement team to amend current questions* |  |  |  |  |
| * *Allow the COMSC engagement team to view statistics* |  |  |  |  |
| * *Enable the Engagement team to enter information about the schools attending an event* |  |  |  |  |
| * *Teams of five or more: The Engagement team can select a particular topic for the quiz* |  |  |  |  |
| * *Teams of six or more: provide an interesting extension to functionality (clearly stated by the team).* |  |  |  |  |
| * *Teams of seven:* provide a further interesting extension to functionality (clearly stated by the team). |  |  |  |  |

**Comments about the Prototype:**

*(E.g. Inadequate or missing functionality, impressive functionality for a requirement)*

**Comments about the Prototype (Continued):**

Consistency of the System:

Excellent: All interfaces had a consistent look and feel

Good: Most of the interfaces had a similar look and feel

Adequate: At least half of of the interfaces were reasonably similar

Poor: Most of the interfaces were inconsistent

Excellent 60-80 marks (75 – 100%)

Good 48 – 59 marks (60 – 75%)

Adequate 32 – 47 marks (40-59%)

Poor 0 – 31 marks (0 – 40%)

Mark:\_\_\_\_\_